

OPEN ACCESS



African Journal of  
**Microbiology Research**

September  
2022 ISSN  
1996-0909  
DOI: 10.5897/AJMR  
[www.academicjournals.org](http://www.academicjournals.org)



**ACADEMIC  
JOURNALS**  
expand your knowledge

# About AJMR

The African Journal of Microbiology Research (AJMR) is a peer reviewed open access journal. The journal commenced publication in May 2007. The journal covers all areas of microbiology such as environmental microbiology, clinical microbiology, immunology, virology, bacteriology, phycology, molecular and cellular biology, molecular microbiology, food microbiology, mycology and parasitology, microbial ecology, probiotics and prebiotics and industrial microbiology.

## Indexing

[CAB Abstracts](#), [CABI's Global Health Database](#), [Chemical Abstracts \(CAS Source Index\)](#), [Dimensions Database](#), [Google Scholar](#), [Matrix of Information for The Analysis of Journals \(MIAR\)](#), [Microsoft Academic](#), [Research Gate](#)

## Open Access Policy

Open Access is a publication model that enables the dissemination of research articles to the global community without restriction through the internet. All articles published under open access can be accessed by anyone with internet connection.

The African Journal of Microbiology Research is an Open Access journal. Abstracts and full texts of all articles published in this journal are freely accessible to everyone immediately after publication without any form of restriction.

## Article License

All articles published by African Journal of Microbiology Research are licensed under the [Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License](#). This permits anyone to copy, redistribute, remix, transmit and adapt the work provided the original work and source is appropriately cited. Citation should include the article DOI. The article license is displayed on the abstract page the following statement:

This article is published under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License 4.0](#). Please refer to <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/legalcode> for details about [Creative Commons Attribution License 4.0](#).

## Article Copyright

When an article is published by in the African Journal of Microbiology Research, the author(s) of the article retain the copyright of article. Author(s) may republish the article as part of a book or other materials. When reusing a published article, author(s) should; Cite the original source of the publication when reusing the article. i.e. cite that the article was originally published in the African Journal of Microbiology Research. Include the article DOI, Accept that the article remains published by the African Journal of Microbiology Research (except in occasion of a retraction of the article).

The article is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

A copyright statement is stated in the abstract page of each article. The following statement is an example of a copyright statement on an abstract page.  
Copyright ©2016 Author(s) retains the copyright of this article.

## **Self-Archiving Policy**

The African Journal of Microbiology Research is a RoMEO green journal. This permits authors to archive any version of their article they find most suitable, including the published version on their institutional repository and any other suitable website.

## **Digital Archiving Policy**

The African Journal of Microbiology Research is committed to the long-term preservation of its content. All articles published by the journal are preserved by [Portico](#). In addition, the journal encourages authors to archive the published version of their articles on their institutional repositories and as well as other appropriate websites.

<https://www.portico.org/publishers/ajournals/>

## **Metadata Harvesting**

The African Journal of Microbiology Research encourages metadata harvesting of all its content. The journal fully supports and implement the OAI version 2.0, which comes in a standard XML format. [See Harvesting Parameter](#)

## Memberships and Standards



Academic Journals strongly supports the Open Access initiative. Abstracts and full texts of all articles published by Academic Journals are freely accessible to everyone immediately after publication.



All articles published by Academic Journals are licensed under the [Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License \(CC BY 4.0\)](#). This permits anyone to copy, redistribute, remix, transmit and adapt the work provided the original work and source is appropriately cited.



[Crossref](#) is an association of scholarly publishers that developed Digital Object Identification (DOI) system for the unique identification published materials. Academic Journals is a member of Crossref and uses the DOI system. All articles published by Academic Journals are issued DOI.

[Similarity Check](#) powered by iThenticate is an initiative started by CrossRef to help its members actively engage in efforts to prevent scholarly and professional plagiarism. Academic Journals is a member of Similarity Check.

[CrossRef Cited-by](#) Linking (formerly Forward Linking) is a service that allows you to discover how your publications are being cited and to incorporate that information into your online publication platform. Academic Journals is a member of [CrossRef Cited-by](#).



Academic Journals is a member of the [International Digital Publishing Forum \(IDPF\)](#). The IDPF is the global trade and standards organization dedicated to the development and promotion of electronic publishing and content consumption.

## Contact

Editorial Office: [ajmr@academicjournals.org](mailto:ajmr@academicjournals.org)

Help Desk: [helpdesk@academicjournals.org](mailto:helpdesk@academicjournals.org)

Website: <http://www.academicjournals.org/journal/AJMR>

Submit manuscript online <http://ms.academicjournals.org>

Academic Journals  
73023 Victoria Island, Lagos, Nigeria  
ICEA Building, 17th Floor,  
Kenyatta Avenue, Nairobi, Kenya.

# Editors

**Prof. Adriano Gomes da Cruz**  
University of Campinas (UNICAMP),  
Brazil.

**Prof. Ashok Kumar**  
School of Biotechnology  
Banaras Hindu University Uttar Pradesh,  
India.

**Dr. Mohd Fuat Abd Razak**  
Infectious Disease Research Centre,  
Institute for Medical Research, Jalan  
Pahang, Malaysia.

**Dr. Adibe Maxwell Ogochukwu**  
Department of Clinical Pharmacy and  
Pharmacy Management,  
University of Nigeria  
Nsukka, Nigeria.

**Dr. Nadezhda Fursova**  
Molecular Microbiology,  
State Research Center for Applied  
Microbiology and Biotechnology,  
Russia.

**Dr. Mehdi Azami**  
Parasitology & Mycology Department  
Baghaeei Lab.  
Isfahan, Iran.

**Dr. Franco Mutinelli**  
Istituto Zooprofilattico Sperimentale delle  
Venezie Italy.

**Prof. Ebiamadon Andi Brisibe**  
University of Calabar,  
Calabar,  
Nigeria.

**Prof. Nazime Mercan Dogan**  
Department of Biology  
Faculty of Science and Arts  
University Denizli Turkey.

**Prof. Long-Liu Lin**  
Department of Applied Chemistry  
National Chiayi University  
Chiayi County Taiwan.

**Prof. Natasha Potgieter**  
University of Venda  
South Africa.

**Dr. Tamer Edirne**  
Department of Family Medicine  
University of Pamukkale  
Turkey.

**Dr. Kwabena Ofori-Kwakye**  
Department of Pharmaceutics  
Kwame Nkrumah University of Science &  
Technology  
Kumasi, Ghana.

**Dr. Tülin Askun**  
Department of Biology  
Faculty of Sciences & Arts  
Balikesir University Turkey.

**Dr. James Stefan Rokem**  
Department of Microbiology & Molecular  
Genetics  
Institute of Medical Research Israel – Canada  
The Hebrew University – Hadassah Medical  
School Jerusalem, Israel.

# Editors

**Dr. Afework Kassu**

University of Gondar  
Ethiopia.

**Dr. Wael Elnaggar**

Faculty of Pharmacy  
Northern Border University  
Rafha Saudi Arabia.

**Dr. Maulin Shah**

Industrial Waste Water Research  
Laboratory  
Division of Applied & Environmental  
Microbiology, Enviro Technology Limited  
Gujarat, India.

**Dr. Ahmed Mohammed**

Pathological Analysis Department  
Thi-Qar University College of Science  
Iraq.

**Prof. Naziha Hassanein**

Department of Microbiology  
Faculty of Science  
Ain Shams University  
Egypt.

**Dr. Shikha Thakur**

Department of Microbiology  
Sai Institute of Paramedical and Allied  
Sciences India.

**Prof. Pongsak Rattanachaikunsopon**

Department of Biological Science,  
Ubon Ratchathani University,  
Thailand.

**Dr. Rafael Lopes e Oliveira**

Chemical Engineering,  
Amazon State University - Uea,  
Brazil.

**Dr. Annalisa Serio**

Faculty of Bioscience and Technology for  
Food, Agriculture and Environment,  
University of Teramo.  
Italy

**Dr. Samuel K Ameyaw**

Civista Medical Center  
USA.

**Dr. Mahmoud A. M. Mohammed**

Department of Food Hygiene and Control  
Faculty of Veterinary Medicine  
Mansoura University Egypt.

**Dr. Anubrata Ghosal**

Department of Biology  
MIT - Massachusetts Institute of Technology  
USA.

**Dr. Bellamkonda Ramesh**

Department of Food Technology  
Vikrama Simhapuri University  
India.

**Dr. Sabiha Yusuf Essack**

Department of Pharmaceutical Sciences  
University of KwaZulu-Natal  
South Africa.

**Dr. Navneet Rai**

Genome Center  
University of California Davis USA.

**Dr. Iheanyi Omezuruike Okonko**

Department of Virology  
Faculty of Basic Medical Sciences  
University of Ibadan  
Ibadan, Nigeria.

**Dr. Mike Agenbag**

Municipal Health Services,  
Joe Gqabi,  
South Africa.

**Dr. Abdel-Hady El-Gilany**

Department of Public Health & Community  
Medicine, Faculty of Medicine  
Mansoura University  
Egypt.

**Dr. Bachir Raho Ghalem**

Biology Department,  
Faculty of natural sciences and life,  
Mascara university,  
Algeria.

## Table of Content

**Comparison of modified Ziehl Neelsen staining technique with antigen detection using ELISA in the diagnosis of Cryptosporidiosis at a tertiary hospital in North-Western Nigeria**

296-300

Lamido Tanko Zainab\*, Yaqub Yahaya, Yahaya Shuaibu Usman,  
Giwa Fatima Jummai and Olayinka Adebola



*Full Length Research Paper*

# **Comparison of modified Ziehl Neelsen staining technique with antigen detection using ELISA in the diagnosis of Cryptosporidiosis at a tertiary hospital in North-Western Nigeria**

**Lamido Tanko Zainab<sup>1\*</sup>, Yaqub Yahaya<sup>2</sup>, Yahaya Shuaibu Usman<sup>3</sup>, Giwa Fatima Jummai<sup>2</sup> and Olayinka Adebola<sup>2</sup>**

<sup>1</sup>Department of Medical Microbiology, College of Medicine, Kaduna State University, Kaduna State, Nigeria.

<sup>2</sup>Department of Medical Microbiology, Faculty of Basic Clinical Sciences Ahmadu Bello University, Zaria, Kaduna State, Nigeria.

<sup>3</sup>Department of Medical Microbiology, Federal Medical Centre, Birnin Kudu, Jigawa State, Nigeria.

Received 30 May, 2022; Accepted 19 August, 2022

**Cryptosporidiosis is a diarrhoeal disease caused by *Cryptosporidium* species. It has become a more recognised pathogen especially in immunocompromised patients. It is an important opportunistic infection responsible for significant morbidity and mortality in Human Immunodeficiency Virus (HIV) and AIDS patients. The main aim of this study is to compare microscopy using modified Ziehl-Neelsen (MZN) with ELISA in the detection of *Cryptosporidium* in stool. The study was conducted at the HIV treatment clinic in Ahmadu Bello University Teaching hospital. It was a prospective and cross-sectional type. A total of 183 stool samples were collected and processed for the detection of the *Cryptosporidium* oocyst using modified Zeihl Neelsen stain and the antigen using ELISA. Kappa test was used which showed strong agreement between the two methods in the detection of *Cryptosporidium* in stool. Out of the 183 stool samples analysed, MZN stain identified 7 (3.8%) while ELISA identified 9 (4.9%) of the Cryptosporidia. The sensitivity, specificity, negative predictive value and positive predictive value of ELISA as compared to MZN for the detection of *Cryptosporidium* were 66.9, 99.4, 98.3 and 85.7%, respectively. This study showed that both MZN and ELISA can be used in the detection of *Cryptosporidium* in stool samples, even though ELISA had a higher specificity than MZN.**

**Key words:** Cryptosporidium, ELIZA, modified Ziehl Neelsen, HIV/AIDS.

## **INTRODUCTION**

Cryptosporidiosis is an infection caused by *Cryptosporidium* species which are intestinal pathogens (Saha et al., 2019a). It serves as a common cause of diarrhoea in humans and animals (Elsawey et al., 2020).

\*Corresponding author. E-mail: [zainabtanko@gmail.com](mailto:zainabtanko@gmail.com).

Author(s) agree that this article remain permanently open access under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License 4.0 International License](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)

*Cryptosporidium* associated diarrhoea has become well known as a result of its severe manifestation in acquired immunodeficiency disease syndrome (AIDS) patients (Agholi et al., 2013). It is an important opportunistic infection and is responsible for significant morbidity and mortality in Human Immunodeficiency Virus (HIV) and AIDS patients (Sarkar et al., 2014).

The clinical spectrum seen in HIV infected individuals ranges from asymptomatic infections to severe diseases that are characterized by profuse watery diarrhoea, which may be accompanied by vomiting and abdominal pain. (Nkenfou et al., 2013; Petrova et al., 2017).

Effective methods to diagnose cryptosporidiosis especially among immunocompromised have been of great challenge in most laboratories in developing countries like Nigeria. Without a proper diagnostic tool, the burden of the infection and its effect on growth, malnutrition and mortality remains underappreciated. Over the years microscopy using modified Ziehl Neelsen (MZN), has been the main diagnostic method in detecting the oocyst in diarrhoeal stool (Ojuromi et al., 2012). Because of their tiny size (about 4-6 µm), detecting the parasite in diarrhoeal stool using MZN has been a problem (Ojuromi et al., 2012; Kumarya and Gwarzo, 2013). This is because the oocysts are usually confused with other faecal materials, pollen and yeast cells. Another disadvantage of this technique is that large number of oocysts (50,000-500,000) per gram of stool need to be present for detection (Ahmed and Karanis, 2018). Where low oocyst numbers are expected in samples, concentration methods are used to increase the sensitivity of detection (Radfar et al., 2013). Due to its limitations, other more effective methods such as antigen detection using enzyme linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) which can detect low level of oocysts in a gram of stool, have been developed to give more reliable results (Ghoshal et al., 2018). This method of diagnosing cryptosporidiosis is becoming increasingly used because of their increase in sensitivity and specificity (Gawad et al., 2018).

Studies on cryptosporidiosis remain scanty in Northern Nigeria, especially amongst immunocompromised patients. The greatest burden of cryptosporidiosis is in children and HIV patients (Costa et al., 2018; Karshima and Karshima, 2021). It has been estimated in India among children <2 years, that cryptosporidiosis leads to 3.9-7.1 million diarrheal episodes, 66.4-249.0 thousand hospitalizations, and 5.8-14.6 thousand deaths each year (Sarkar et al., 2014). In HIV seropositive patients, it is a major cause of chronic diarrhoea which subsequently leads to malnutrition and death in severe cases (Iqbal et al., 2012). Therefore, prompt and reliable methods of diagnosis are essential to help curb the severity in such groups of patients.

The main aim of this study is to compare microscopy using Modified Ziehl-Neelsen (MZN) with ELISA in the detection of *Cryptosporidium* in stool.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

The study was a cross sectional and prospective type. A total of 183 HIV seropositive patients attending the HIV treatment clinic were recruited to participate in the study.

### Collection and processing of samples

Stool samples were collected in a clean wide mouthed screw capped plastic containers, which were transported to the Medical Microbiology laboratory of ABUTH, Zaria for processing. The samples were preserved and concentrated using the formalin-ether concentration method (Allam et al., 2021). In this method, 2 ml of watery stool was collected in a tube and then 10 ml of 10% formalin added. This was mixed well then filtered using a coffee strainer into another tube and faecal particles collected on the sieve were discarded. Three millilitres of ether were added to the filtrate and solution was vortexed for 15 s. This was to allow adequate mixing of ether to the filtrate and centrifuged immediately at low speed (500 g) for 1 min. After centrifuging, four layers were formed, topmost layer represented the ether, then faecal debris layer followed by formol water layer and lastly the sediment layer. The layer of the formol water was carefully transferred to another clean tube using a Pasteur pipette. One millilitre of this was transferred into a clean plain bottle for ELISA analysis, and the remaining was then centrifuged at 1000 g for 10 min. The supernatant was discarded while the sediment was used for making the smear (Tahvildar-biderouni and Salehi, 2014).

### Sample analysis using Modified Ziehl Neelsen staining technique

The smears were allowed to air dry and then fixed using 95% ethanol. After fixing, the slides were stained with concentrated carbol fuchsin, after which it was heated from below until vapour was seen. This stain was left for 5 min before it was rinsed with water. This was followed by decolorization using 1% sulfuric acid for 1 min and then washed. Lastly 1% methylene blue was used to counterstain for 1 min, and finally washed. The smeared slide was then air dried and viewed under  $\times 100$  objectives. Under oil immersion, *Cryptosporidium* oocyst appeared as oval pinkish red on a blue background.

### Sample analysis using ELISA

The supernatant from the previously preserved stool sample was used for this procedure using ProspecT™ (Oxoid, Basingstoke, UK). This is a rapid ELISA kit for detection of *Cryptosporidium* antigen in the stool. Test was carried out according to the manufacturer's instructions.<sup>18</sup>

First, required number of the micro wells was identified, including two additional wells for positive and negative controls. Four drops of the negative and four drops of the positive control were added in wells A1 and B1, also 200 µl of the supernatant from stool sample was added to subsequent wells. The plate was covered using a microplate cover and incubated for 60 min at room temperature. The contents of the wells were then discarded into a waste container, washed 3 times using a diluted wash buffer (1 part of wash buffer concentrate to 9 parts distilled water). After the last wash, the plate was tapped on a paper towel to remove excess wash buffer. Four drops (200 µl) of enzyme conjugate were added to each well and incubated for 30 min at room temperature. Contents of the wells were discarded into a waste container, washed using diluted wash buffer for 5 times and still excess wash buffer removed as earlier done. Four drops of colour substrate were

**Table 1.** Age and sex distribution of of cases of *Cryptosporidium* in stool

Variables	HIV Seropositive	
	Frequency	Percentage
<b>Age group</b>		
16-25	22	12.0
26-35	92	50.3
36-45	46	25.2
46-55	16	8.7
55-65	7	3.8
Total	183	100.0
<b>Sex</b>		
Male	51	27.9
Female	132	72.1
Total	183	100.0

Source: Author

added into each well and incubated for 10 min at room temperature. Finally, a drop (50  $\mu$ l) of stop solution was added to each well and results read within 10 min at room temperature (Thermofisher, 2017).

Washing of wells consisted of filling each well to overflowing and decanting contents. After the last fill, contents were discarded into a container, and the plate turned upside down on a paper towel. Results were read both visually and using an ELISA reader. Visually, positive result was seen as pale to deep yellow while negative wells will remain colourless. Wells were read at 450 nm. Absorbance of 0.15 OD and above was read as positive while absorbance reading at less than 0.15 OD was recorded as negative.

## RESULTS

A total of 183 diarrheal stool samples were collected from March to December 2017 from HIV seropositive patients attending the HIV treatment clinic. Ninety-two (50.3%) participants were in the age group 26-35 years while the 36-45 years group had 46 (25.2%). Only 7 (3.8%) of the participants were from the age group 56-65 years. Out of the 183 participants, 132 (72.1%) were females and 51 (27.9%) were males (Table 1).

+Modified Ziehl-Neelsen stain identified 7 (3.8%) *Cryptosporidia* in stool of the HIV seropositive while ELISA identified 9 (4.9%) of these cases (Figure 1). However, using a Kappa test there is strong agreement between the two methods in the detection of *Cryptosporidium* in the stool.

The sensitivity, specificity, negative predictive value and positive predictive value of ELISA as compared to MZN for the detection of *Cryptosporidium* were 66.9, 99.4, 98.3 and 85.7%, respectively.


## DISCUSSION

In this study, all samples were analysed using MZN and

ELISA. Out of the 183 stool samples obtained, MZN and ELISA detected 7 (3.8%) and 9 (4.9%) cases, respectively of *Cryptosporidium* in HIV seropositive cases in this study (Table 2). There was no statistically significant difference between MZN and ELISA ( $p < 0.05$ ) in the detection of *Cryptosporidium* in stool. However, test of agreement between MZN and ELISA in the detection of *Cryptosporidium* showed a significant degree of agreement between the two tests ( $k = 0.854$ ,  $p < 0.0005$ ). These results indicate that both tests can be equally used in the detection of *Cryptosporidium* in stool, although this study suggests that ELISA may have a slightly higher rate of detection.

The sensitivity and specificity results showed that ELISA has a significantly higher sensitivity than MZN in the detection of *Cryptosporidium* in stool; although the specificity of MZN is, still high (Table 3). These findings are buttressed by several studies in various parts of the world that revealed the higher sensitivity and specificity of ELISA as compared to MZN (Cengiz et al., 2016; Aly Shalash et al., 2016; Omoruyi et al., 2014). However, one study by Mittal et al. (2014) revealed that MZN staining is a more sensitive method than ELISA for detection of *Cryptosporidium* in stool samples but that the specificity of ELISA was more than MZN (Mittal et al., 2014; Saha et al., 2019b). Another study in India showed that MZN and ELISA have comparative sensitivity and specificity. They also concluded that ELISA may be used as a reliable substitute for microscopy in setups where the case load is higher or expertise in special staining techniques is unavailable and that the cost of the ELISA kits can only be justified if the sample load is sufficiently high or if immunocompromised patients form a significant patient population (Ghoshal et al., 2018; O'Leary et al., 2021).

Furthermore, MZN may detect some false positives as indicated by the positive predictive value of 85.7% and above. One of the major advantages of ELISA is in its



**Figure 1.** Modified Ziehl Neelsen stain (MZN).  
Source: Author

**Table 2.** Comparison of MZN and ELISA

<b>Cryptosporidium identification</b>	<b>Modified Ziehl Neelsen stain</b>	<b>Percentage</b>	<b>ELISA</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
Positive	7	3.8	9	4.9
Negative	176	96.2	174	95.1
Total	183	100	183	100

**K= .854, p < .0005**

Modified Ziehl Neelsen stain (MZN)

Enzyme immunosorbent assay (ELISA)

Source: Author

detection of *Cryptosporidium* antigens during asexual multiplication which cannot be picked on MZN (Ghoshal et al., 2018). In this study, 2 cases of *Cryptosporidium*

were detected in stools which were reported as negative by MZN, further buttressing the relative superiority of ELISA over MZN. Despite being a bit costly, ELISA

**Table 3.** Sensitivity and Specificity of MZN

ELISA	Percentage
Sensitivity	66.9
Specificity	99.4
Positive predictive value	85.7
Negative predictive value	98.3

Source: Author

should be considered for diagnosis in situation where there is a low concentration of parasite in the faecal samples and to overcome the lack of experienced technicians who can carry out MZN (Hassan et al., 2021).

## Conclusion

This study showed that both MZN and ELISA can be used in the detection of *Cryptosporidium* in stool samples as both tests showed strong agreement with each other. However, ELISA can be used as a confirmatory test for the diagnosis of cryptosporidiosis.

## CONFLICT OF INTERESTS

The authors have not declared any conflict of interests

## REFERENCES

- Agholi M, Hatam GR, Motazedian MH (2013). HIV/AIDS-Associated Opportunistic Protozoal Diarrhea. *AIDS Research and Human Retroviruses* 29(1):35-41.
- Ahmed SA, Karanis P (2018). Comparison of current methods used to detect *Cryptosporidium* oocysts in stools. *International Journal of Hygiene and Environmental Health* 221(5):743-763.
- Allam AF, El-Taweel HA, Farag HF, Mohamed AFI, Khalil SS, Shehab AY (2021). Performance of formalin ethyl acetate, percoll sedimentation and ELISA for the detection of *Cryptosporidium* infection in asymptomatic children. *Journal of Parasitic Diseases* 45(2):319-323.
- Aly Shalash IR, Zalat R, El-Enain G, EL-Mohandes M, EL-Faramawy M, Aly E. Comparison between Modified Acid Fast Staining and Antigen Detection Assay as Diagnostic Techniques for *Cryptosporidium parvum*. *World Journal of Medical Sciences* 13(1):72-8.
- Cengiz ZT, Yilmaz H, Sahin IH, Kapmaz M, Ekici P (2016). The Frequency of *Cryptosporidium* spp. in Immunocompromised Patients by Modified Acid-Fast Staining, Cassette Kit and ELISA Methods: Comparison of the Diagnostic Techniques. *Jundishapur Journal of Microbiology* 10(2).
- Costa D, Razakandrainibe R, Sautour M, Valot S, Basmaciyan L, Gargala G (2018). Human cryptosporidiosis in immunodeficient patients in France (2015-2017). *Experimental parasitology* 192:108-112. <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/30107154/>
- Elsaway A, Suzan E, Magied S Abdel, Mosaad Y, Nabih N (2020). Prevalence of *Cryptosporidium* species among immunocompetent and immunocompromised Egyptian children: comparative study. *Parasitologists United Journal* 13(2):114-20.
- Gawad SSA, Ismail MAM, Imam NFA, Eassa AHA, Abu-Sarea EY (2018). Detection of *Cryptosporidium* spp. in Diarrheic Immunocompetent Patients in Beni-Suef, Egypt: Insight into Epidemiology and Diagnosis. *The Korean Journal of Parasitology* 56(2):113.
- Ghoshal U, Jain V, Dey A, Ranjan P (2018). Evaluation of enzyme linked immunosorbent assay for stool antigen detection for the diagnosis of cryptosporidiosis among HIV negative immunocompromised patients in a tertiary care hospital of northern India. *Journal of Infection and Public Health* 11(1):115-119.
- Hassan EM, Örmeci B, DeRosa MC, Dixon BR, Sattar SA, Iqbal A (2021). A review of *Cryptosporidium* spp. and their detection in water. *Water Science and Technology* 83(1):1-25.
- Iqbal A, Lim YAL, Mahdy MAK, Dixon BR, Surin J (2012). Epidemiology of Cryptosporidiosis in HIV-Infected Individuals: A Global Perspective. *Open Access Scientific Reports* 1(9):1-15.
- Karshima SN, Karshima MN (2021). Epidemiology of *Cryptosporidium* Infections among People Living with HIV/AIDS in Nigeria: Results of Systematic Review and Meta-analysis. *Acta Parasitology* 66(1):60-74. Available from: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/32683583/>
- Kumarya AS, Gwarzo MY (2013). Cryptosporidiosis in HIV infected patients with diarrhoea in Kano state, North-western Nigeria 5(8):301-305.
- Thermosfisher (2017). *Cryptosporidium* Antigen Detection Microwell ELISA Directions for Use for In Vitro Diagnostic Use 310:1-6.
- Mittal S, Sharma M, Chaudhary U, Yadav A (2014). Comparison of ELISA and Microscopy for detection of *Cryptosporidium* in stool. *Journal of Clinical and Diagnostic Research* 8(11):DC07.
- Nkenfou CN, Nana CT, Payne VK (2013). Intestinal parasitic infections in HIV infected and non-infected patients in a low HIV prevalence region, West-Cameroon. *PLoS One* 8(2):e57914.
- O'Leary JK, Sleator RD, Lucey B (2021). *Cryptosporidium* spp. Diagnosis and Research in the 21st Century. *Food and Waterborne Parasitology* 24:e00131.
- Ojuromi OT, Izquierdo F, Fenoy S, Fagbenro-Beyioku A, Oyibo W, Akanmu A (2012). Identification and Characterization of Microsporidia from Fecal Samples of HIV-Positive Patients from Lagos, Nigeria. *PLoS ONE* 7(4):e35239.
- Omoruyi B, Nwodo U, Udem C, Okonkwo F (2014). Comparative Diagnostic Techniques for *Cryptosporidium* Infection. *Molecules* 19(2):2674-83.
- Petrova S, Koemdzhiiev D, Iliev P, Nikolov D, Stoyanova K (2017). Comparison Between Three Laboratory Methods for the Diagnosis of *Cryptosporidium*. *Scripta Scientifica Vox Studentium* 1(0).
- Radfar MH, Gowhari MA, Khalili M (2013). Comparison of capture ELISA and modified Ziehl-Neelsen for detection of *Cryptosporidium parvum* in feces of camel (*Camelus dromedarius*) in Iran. *Scientia Parasitologica* 14(3):147-152.
- Saha R, Saxena B, Jamir ST, Shekhar S (2019). Prevalence of cryptosporidiosis in symptomatic immunocompetent children and comparative evaluation of its diagnosis by Ziehl-Neelsen staining and antigen detection techniques. *Tropical Parasitology* 9(1):18.
- Sarkar R, Tate JE, Ajjampur SSR, Kattula D, John J, Ward HD (2014). Burden of diarrhea, hospitalization and mortality due to cryptosporidial infections in Indian children. *PLoS Neglected Tropical Diseases* 8(7):e3042.
- Tahvildar-biderouni F, Salehi N (2014). Detection of *Cryptosporidium* infection by modified ziehl-neelsen and PCR methods in children with diarrheal samples in pediatric hospitals in Tehran 7(4):125-30.



**Related Journals:**

